

Agricultural Biodiversity promoting adaptive capacity within production systems

Paola De Santis, Devra Jarvis





How we work

- A Research Agency for development
- With partners (NGOs, IGOs, Universities, local communities and others)
- No laboratories or field sites
- Through networks





Where we work

A staff of over 300 operating from 30 locations around the world





What we do

- Bioversity seeks to provide evidence of the benefits of agricultural biodiversity for:
 - Improved productivity, resilience and resistance in farming systems
 - Better human health through improved nutrition & diversified diets
 - Enhanced options for income generation
- Benefits are secured through:
 - Informed policies, public awareness, increased capacities to manage biodiversity
- Upscale benefits through:
 - Widely applicable methods based on greater understanding of benefits





Building Better Use of Agricultural Biodiversity

- Build strong scientific evidence base to convince the major development actors
- Adopt different development models
 - Combining multi-functional benefits of diversity
 - Based on better use of the adaptive capacity of local diversity
 - Taking into consideration cultural values
- Document and conserve traditional knowledge
- Ensure Awareness







日本

Simple globally applicable diversity measures:



Agricultural diversity is managed and maintained by small scale farmers in the developing world.

2-5 traditional apple varieties per farm,
8-10 per community, + wild apple for rootstock, seedlings and fruits *Kyrgyzstan, Tajikistan, Uzbekistan*



Bioversity International

> Traditional varieties of *Phaseolus lunatus* in Cuban home gardens cover the diversity of the entire national *ex-situ* collection.

> 30 home gardens per agroecosystem - a sampling strategy. *Cuba and Guatemala*

2-3 traditional rice varieties per farm (0.2 ha), 34 per community, with any two plants drawn at random within a farm differed in 25% (within a community 77%) Nepal and Vietnam















- Conventional view
 - As a source of traits for crop improvement at global level



Country inter-dependence, simplification and vulnerability





- Conventional view
 - As a source of traits for crop improvement at global level
- Unconventional: more sustainable agriculture
 - As a source of traits for participatory crop improvement through PPB/PVS





In 2006, *Jethobudho* rice is formally released through the Nepalese Seed system as Nepal's first farmer bred registered variety



- Conventional view
 - As a source of traits for crop improvement at global level
- Unconventional: more sustainable agriculture
 - As a source of traits for crop improvement through PPB
 - As a source of increased income and improved livelihoods, especially in marginal areas





Options for income generation

Better marketing of local crops (case of leafy vegetables in Kenya with >1,100 % rise of sales over 2 years)





Major constraints to consumption of indigenous leafy vegetables were the cost, lack of time and knowledge in food preparation







300 farming families monitored increased their production from 31 to 400 tons a month





- Conventional view
 - As a source of traits for crop improvement at global level
- Unconventional: more sustainable agriculture
 - As a source of traits for crop improvement through PPB
 - As a source of increased income and improved livelihoods, especially in marginal areas
 - As a source of better nutrition





- Traditional leafy vegetables for nutrition
 - More than 200 species in Kenya
 - Much more nutritious
- Source of improved family nutrition









- Conventional view
 - As a source of traits for crop improvement at global level
- Unconventional: more sustainable agriculture
 - As a source of traits for crop improvement through PPB
 - As a source of increased income and improved livelihoods, especially in marginal areas
 - As a source of better nutrition
 - As a valuable contribution to sustaining cultural, ethnic traditions and identity



Sustaining cultural, ethnic traditions and identity

Diversity field fora and seed fairs to promote traditional know-how





Diversity Field Fora



- Empower small farmers to develop more effective livelihoods strategies
- Enhance identification and promotion of local genetic resources
- Enhance exchange of knowledge and local genetic resources
- Technical knowledge of famers strengthened through specific training and field visits and observations



Diversity Field Fora

- Promote multi partnership (farmers, extension services, researchers, local decision makers, private sector)
- Best traditional seed conservation practices documented, and disseminated to other villages





Seed fairs

- Value farmers' contribution to conservation and development of crop genetic diversity
- Exchange of information at different levels (Villagers, local Decision makers, Researchers etc.)
- Favour exchange of practices (management and conservation) among farmers from different villages.
- Favour exchange of varieties among farmers, and between farmers and researchers





- (i) linking agricultural and natural landscapes
- (ii) linking protected area conservation to development
- (iii) linking quality production and cultural diversity to healthy ecosystems









The Importance of Agrobiodiversity

- Conventional view
 - As a source of traits for crop improvement at global level
- Unconventional: more sustainable agriculture
 - As a source of traits for crop improvement through PPB
 - As a source of increased income and improved livelihoods, especially in marginal areas
 - As a source of better nutrition
 - As a valuable contribution to sustaining cultural, ethnic traditions and identity
 - As a source of resilience and stability against abiotic and biotic threats

Abiotic: Diverse sets of varieties for unpredictable environmental conditions



Farmers' management of genetic diversity prevents dilution of the stress resistance characteristics of local varieties









- One third of global harvest lost to pests and diseases
- Hypothesis of project: intraspecific diversity in farmers' fields reduces vulnerability and damage to crop
 - Diversity to improve resistance and resilience
 - Morocco, Ecuador, China, Uganda





Determining when and where intra-specific diversity is the answer (and when it is not)

- Participatory Diagnostics:
 - Focus Group Discussion; Household Survey;
 - Technical Evaluation (laboratory and field analysis)
- Field assessment; On farm trials; Collection of pathogen variation; On station trials; Glass house experiments.





Intra-specific diversity in farmers' fields reduces vulnerability and damage to the crop (reducing the probability of crop loss in the future)

- Diverse sets of varieties within a crop with:
 - Non-uniform resistance
 - Less probability that migrations of new pathogens or mutations of existing pathogens will damage the crop





Mean Resistance of bean varieties as ranked by farmers Mean resistance ĨB 8 7 6 5 4 3 2 1 0 Kakira Kahikye Kajerejje Katosire Kabenga Kigome Kihura Bwisere Kisenyi Bukanja Sugar 31

Gantagasize Rutukura Kanyebwa Kankuryembarukye Ekibamukunde Kuryebaruke Nvunakingi Washonje Ngwinorare Sugar 31 b Nyinamamesha Bwanaresi Nkirizabana Nambaare Kabwejagure Sugar 31 a Marungano Nambale short Nyinakigote Shemererwa Mamesha Kacwekano Rugundura Varieties

Indicators to compared to diversity to damage at household level – monitoring system resilience



Crop variety richness at household level



How to support and promote agrobiodiversity





Seed flows, seed access and seed production: A key element for communities to buffer and adapt to change Bioversity International Custodians of diversity

Population size, geneflow, migration, selection

Collado et al., 2005



Isolation and seed source – Amazonian Peru

Intercambio entre y con la comunidad

Intercambio con la comunidad



Community institutions with the capacity to access, conserve and benefit from diversity

Diversity Field Fora

• Supporting seed systems in low heritability environments

Bioversity International

- Participatory varietal evaluation
- Fora for learning and exchange
- Building trust in seed systems





Community Biodiversity Registry

- Documentation
- Monitoring
- Marketing
- Exchange
- Biopiracy
- Ownership

Access to landraces from Community Seed Bank increases particularly for the very poor

International

Year	Number of farmers of different socio- economic				No. of landrace	Seed (Kg)
	Rich	Medium	Poor	Total	S	
2007	23 (23)	34 (33)	45 (44)	102	28	103
2006	7 (11)	25 (39)	32 (50)	64	21	80
2005	17 (20)	37 (42)	33 (38)	87	23	197
2004	6 (17)	14 (40)	15 (43)	35	13	69





The Future



Acknowledgements and thanks:

Participating farmers, local, national and international institutes organisations and partners, SDC, DGIS, FAO, UNEP/GEF, WSU, Ford Foundation, IFAD, IDRC, GTZ/BMZ, JICAS, National Government Funding